



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/725,338 | 12/02/2003 | Brenda Lynn Deitrich | 00280749AA | 1329 |
| 30743 7590 11/21/2008 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190 | | | | |
| EXAMINER CHONG CRUZ, NADJA N | | | | |
| ART UNIT 3623 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MAIL DATE 11/21/2008 | | DELIVERY MODE PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/725,338

Applicant(s)

DEITRICH ET AL.

Examiner

NADJA CHONG CRUZ

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NADJA CHONG CRUZ (PTO Personnel).

(3) MARY E. GOULET (Reg. No. 35,884).

(2) BETH BOSWELL (PTO Supervisor).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vardi, Kintner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 28. Discussed the amendment draft. Applicant's representative stated their intention to amend the claims in their next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth V. Boswell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623